Polythiocyanogen as Cathode Materials for High Temperature All-Solid-State Lithium–Sulfur Batteries
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ABSTRACT: Solid-state lithium batteries are uniquely suited for operation at elevated to even high temperatures (>100 °C). Under these conditions, however, oxide cathode materials are unstable with high-conductivity sulfide-based electrolytes while elemental sulfur suffers from poor utilization due to its insulating nature. Here, we developed an ionic liquid mediated synthesis procedure for polythiocyanogen (poly-SCN) and applied it as a sulfur-rich cathode. The material, with uniform, submicrometer particle size and a > 55 wt % sulfur loading, exhibits good thermal stability of over 200 °C. A specific capacity of over 800 mAh g⁻¹ at 100 °C is realized when poly-SCN is used as a cathode in an all-solid-state battery (ASSB). Mechanistic studies show that during discharge, both C–S and S–S bonds in poly-SCN are cleaved along with the formation of Li₂S. During charge, the re-formation of poly-SCN structure is observed. The scalable synthesis procedure, high thermal stability, high sulfur loading, and high capacity make poly-SCN a promising candidate for high temperature solid state batteries.

Rechargeable batteries operating at elevated temperatures are important for oil exploration, aerospace engineering, and automotive electronics, among others. These operating temperatures, often in excess of 100 °C, pose challenges for liquid-electrolyte batteries due to their volatility and flammable nature. Solid state batteries are ideally suited for elevated temperature operation due to their lack of flammability and the potential of using lithium metal anode which leads to high energy density. Inorganic oxides such as Li-La₂Zr₂O₁₂ (LLZO) have good ionic conductivity and thermal stability with lithium metal but are difficult to process and suffer from poor interfacial performance with lithium. In contrast, sulfide electrolytes such as Li₃PS₄ (LPS) or Li₄PS₄Cl (LPSCI) high ionic conductivity and are more ductile, which greatly facilitates processing and device integration.

A major challenge for sulfide electrolytes is their instability at the cathode/electrolyte interface: when applying high voltage transition metal oxides cathode such as LiCoO₂ (LCO), LiNiₓMnₓCo₁₋ₓ−yO₂ (NMC), or LiNiₓCoₓAl₁₋ₓ−yO₂ (NCA), the irreversible cobalt–phosphor cation exchange takes place during charging. In contrast, sulfur cathode, with a 1675 mAh g⁻¹ theoretical capacity and natural abundance, is ideally suited to match with sulfide electrolytes. Unlike in liquid-electrolyte Li−S batteries, ASSBs do not suffer from the well-known "shuttle" phenomenon induced by the dissolution of polysulfides. However, the insulating nature of elemental sulfur (~10⁻²⁴ S cm⁻¹ conductivity) limits its application in the ASSBs. Multiple mitigation measures have been investigated, including doping, nanoconfinement, and using sulfur-rich polymers. In the polymers, sulfur atoms are bonded with conjugate polymeric backbones. The kinetic performance of sulfur is enhanced since it is effectively dispersed as atoms in a polymer matrix.

Polythiocyanogen (poly-SCN) has a stoichiometry of (CNS)ₓ (55 wt % sulfur). Its main chain is a C=N–conjugated structure. If fully utilized, the material has a theoretical capacity of 926 mAh g⁻¹. Moreover, poly-SCN is a stable photocatalyst under extended light exposure, indicating its promising thermal stability. These properties suggest poly-SCN might be a suitable candidate as a cathode material for high
temperature ASSBs. There have been several reported methods for synthesizing poly-SCN. In general, a SCN⁻ precursor (NH₄SCN or KSCN) can be oxidized by H₂SO₄ or Cl₂ to form poly-SCN. These synthesis procedures require either toxic reagents, strong acids, or multiple reaction steps with low yields. Alternatively, poly-SCN can be synthesized using a mechanochemical process: KSCN and K₂S₂O₈ are hand ground in a mortar and pestle for hours when the SCN⁻ is polymerized to form poly-SCN. Other mechanical synthesis methods such as ball milling do not work: the heat released during milling can decompose the reaction intermediates, thus yielding products with low sulfur contents. Finally, poly-SCN has been shown to be a very poor battery material. In liquid electrolytes, the material delivers a reversible capacity of less than 100 mAh g⁻¹ from 0.2 to 2 V and the charge storage mechanism remains unknown.

In this study, we report the synthesis of poly-SCN with a solid–liquid reaction (SLR) that can be performed at room temperature in ambient air. The mild reaction condition prevents the thermally induced decomposition and results in high sulfur loading. Moreover, poly-SCN synthesized with this method has more uniform particle size distribution than the one with low sulfur contents. Finally, poly-SCN has been shown to be a very poor battery material. In liquid electrolytes, the material delivers a reversible capacity of less than 100 mAh g⁻¹ from 0.2 to 2 V and the charge storage mechanism remains unknown.
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obtained by mechanical synthesis. When applied as a cathode material in an ASSB operating at 100 °C, poly-SCN delivers a reversible capacity of over 800 mAh g⁻¹, significantly outperforming elemental sulfur. Mechanistic studies reveal that poly-SCN undergoes a solid-liquid reaction rather than a solid-solid reaction experienced by elemental sulfur when operating at these temperatures. Poly-SCN synthesized by the SLR process represents a promising candidate for ASSBs designed for elevated temperature applications.

The SLR synthesis procedure is shown in Figure 1. The liquid precursor 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium thiocyanate (BMIM SCN) is mixed with the solid oxidizer potassium persulfate (K₂S₂O₈). After 2 h, the mixtures are solidified, and the color for the mixtures turns from white into brick red (Figure S1B). The reaction progress over 2 h is recorded under a microscope (Supporting Information Video S1). Images at different points of the video are shown in Figure 1A. Potassium persulfate is first dissolved by the precursor BMIM SCN and then starts to oxidize the SCN anion. During the reaction, the bridging O−O bond in S₂O₈²⁻ is cleaved to generate SO₄²⁻ radical anion. This radical anion initiates the SCN⁻ polymerization and forms SO₄²⁻ in the meantime. Compared to other synthesis approaches, the SLR is performed in the ambient air at room temperature. The yield of poly-SCN based on BMIM SCN is 94%.

Poly-SCN thus obtained has a similar composition as that synthesized by the mechanical hand grinding (HG) method: with an S:N atomic ratio of 1.2:1 estimated from the X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, Figure S1A). However, the mechanical method requires continuous hand grinding of the precursors for 3 h, a method that is not scalable. Attempts of using the ball milling method result in an S:N ratio of 0.27:1, likely due to the thermal-induced thiocyanate oxidation (Figure S1A). Therefore, we have developed a much more scalable and controllable synthesis process for poly-SCN. The elemental analysis results for SLR poly-SCN are displayed in Table S1; the C:N:S stoichiometry for SLR poly-SCN is close to 1:1:1.

We then characterized the morphology and structure of the poly-SCN synthesized by SLR (SLR poly-SCN); the detailed discussion is included in the Supporting Information “Structure and Properties of SLR poly-SCN” section, and related figures are Figures S2, S3, and S4. In general, the SLR poly-SCN has a conjugated −C═N− structure with −S−S− and −C═S− functional groups. Moreover, compared to the poly-SCN synthesized by hand grinding (HG poly-SCN), the SLR poly-SCN has a much more uniform size distribution.

The thermal properties are evaluated to assess the potential of poly-SCN as a cathode material for high-temperature ASSBs. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) (Figure 2A) shows that the polymer starts to decompose at 261 °C, indicating that the material is more stable than elemental sulfur which starts to vaporize at 150 °C. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC, Figure 2B) results show that there is no exothermic or endothermic peak after 75 min, suggesting that the reaction is self-terminated. Based on these observations, a reaction mechanism for the poly-SCN formation is proposed in Figure 1B: K₂S₂O₈ is first dissolved by the precursor BMIM SCN and then starts to oxidize the SCN anion. The reaction,
endothermic peak observed from room temperature to 200 °C. Only a transition from the glassy to rubbery state occurs as the specific heat capacity changes from a temperature of 47.7 to 98.2 °C. Furthermore, the glass transition temperature $T_g$ (at $\delta C_p/2$) for poly-SCN is 77 °C.

Poly-SCN is then blended with an argyrodite-type solid electrolyte Li$_6$PS$_5$Cl (LPSCl) to form a composite at a 2:1 weight ratio, the same composition as in an ASSB to be evaluated later. Figure 2C shows the Arrhenius plot for the composite; the results for the pure LPSCl are also included for comparison. There is a slope change for the composite at 77 °C, while there is no slope change in the plot for LPSCl. Therefore, the slope change for the composite is attributed to the behavior of poly-SCN. At 100 °C, the ionic conductivity of the poly-SCN-LPSCl composite (2:1 weight ratio) is slightly reduced from that of pure LPSCl. It indicates the rubbery state poly-SCN at this weight ratio does not greatly impede the ion transport between particles of LPSCl. Additionally, the ionic conductivity of the LPSCl and poly-SCN mixture was measured at various weight ratios at the same temperature (Figure S5). The ionic conductivity scales with the amount of LPSCl, again indicating that poly-SCN does not impede ion transport.

The electronic conductivities of poly-SCN before and after lithiation at different temperatures are tested as well, as shown in Figure 2D. Here the lithiated poly-SCN is prepared from pristine poly-SCN reacting with butyllithium. There is a 3 orders of magnitude increase when the temperature increases from 40 to 100 °C, further suggesting that the polymer is more favorable to be operated at elevated temperatures. The primary factor contributing to the low conductivity of poly-SCN at room temperature can be attributed to its limited degree of polymerization, which is determined to be 18 based on mass spectrometry analysis (Figure S3D). Consequently, the material exhibits a wide band gap, as confirmed by ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy (UPS, Figure S6), which shows a valence band maximum for poly-SCN exceeding 5 eV relative to the Fermi level. These measurements collectively indicate that it is crucial to operate poly-SCN in its rubbery state at elevated temperatures (>77 °C) when it acquires appreciable conductivity, rendering it well-suited for high-temperature battery applications.

Poly-SCN is then employed to construct ASSBs with LPSCl as the electrolyte and lithium metal as the anode. A carbon interlayer is introduced to limit the side-reaction at the anode/electrolyte interface. In the cathode, LPSCl (ionic conductor) and carbon fiber (electronic conductor) are mixed with poly-SCN. Cryo-FIB-SEM image in Figure S7A shows that the cathode is dense and uniform with a thickness of ∼20 μm. EDX image in Figure S7B shows that all components are uniformly distributed in the cathode. There is a clear boundary between the cathode and electrolyte: The N signal from poly-SCN is enriched at the cathode side while invisible in the electrolyte layer.

The ASSBs are tested at 100 °C where poly-SCN has shown high electronic and ionic conductivities. After the first several cycles to form a relative stable cathode−electrolyte interface (Figure S8A), the material shows a reversible capacity of 885 mAh g$^{-1}$ at a rate of C/5 (Figure 3A,B), close to the theoretical capacity of 924 mAh g$^{-1}$. Further, poly-SCN has a discharge plateau at 2.2 V, much higher than commonly observed in sulfurized polymers. Compared to elemental sulfur, the significant advantage of SLR material persists even after extended cycling, when the elemental sulfur electrode degrades rapidly (Figure 3B). Its rate capability is also good, with capacities of 450 mAh g$^{-1}$ at C/2 and 400 mAh g$^{-1}$ at 1C, respectively (Figures 3C and S8B). At a rate of C/5, poly-SCN significantly outperforms elemental sulfur, which delivers a capacity of 450 mAh g$^{-1}$ (Figure 3A). Likewise, the SLR poly-SCN also outperforms HG poly-SCN, which delivers a capacity of 480 mAh g$^{-1}$. We attribute this performance difference to
their morphological differences: the HG poly-SCN features large particle sizes with broad size distributions than SLR poly-SCN (Figure S2A). Moreover, a temperature-dependent capacity change is observed in the poly-SCN ASSB (Figure S8C): These is almost no capacity once the ASSB was operated below 80 °C which agrees with the previous observation that the rubbery state is crucial for poly-SCN to maintains high electronic and ionic conductivity.

We then studied the working mechanism of poly-SCN in ASSB. As shown in Figure 3A, both SLR and HG poly-SCN show a single voltage plateau during discharge, indicating that the material goes through a solid-phase conversion reaction. This contrasts with elemental sulfur, which exhibits two voltage plateaus. Sulfur discharging at 100 °C appears to follow a “solid−liquid−solid” working mechanism: the plateau at 2.3 V is associated with the transition from Li$_2$S$_8$ to Li$_2$S$_6$, while the one at 2.1 V is the transition from Li$_2$S$_6$ to Li$_2$S$^{10,32}$ This two-step process takes place even though sulfur is being discharged in the absence of a liquid electrolyte which dissolves the polysulfide...
intermediate. The single-phase process might be a result of the limited length of S–S chains in poly-SCN.

To exclude the interference of other cathode components (conductive carbon and LPSCI), we conduct a chemical lithiation of poly-SCN to simulate the discharge product(s). Raman spectra in Figure 4A show a decrease in intensity of the C=S and S=S peaks after chemical lithiation at room temperature. A new peak emerges at 406 cm$^{-1}$, which is attributed to the formation of Li$_x$S (Figure S9A). For the lithiated poly-SCN prepared at 100 °C, the C=S and S=S peaks are virtually absent and the Li$_x$S peak is again observed. Moreover, a broad peak from 1200 to 1600 cm$^{-1}$ associated with the −C≡N=− conjugated structure is observed. These observations indicate that both C=S and S=S bonds in poly-SCN are cleaved during lithiation, while Li$_x$S is formed. In the meantime, the degree of −C≡N=− conjugation increases upon lithiation. Higher temperature facilitates the lithiation reaction, promoting the complete cleavage of C=S and S=S bonds and extending the −C≡N=− conjugated structure.

The FTIR spectra of poly-SCN before and after chemical lithiation appear to be similar (Figure S9B). However, when taking a difference between them (Figure 4B), we uncover that there is a series of positive −C≡N=− chain stretching signals in the range of 1000–1500 cm$^{-1}$. This observation agrees with the conclusion of the growth of the −C≡N=− chain. Another positive signal is observed at 650 cm$^{-1}$. The peak is attributed to the (Li$_x$S)$_y$ cluster, which indicates Li$_x$S is dispersed in the polymer matrix as a monomer. The formation of Li$_x$S is also confirmed by XPS shown in Figure 4C,D, with the Li 1s at 54.5 eV and S 2p at 160.5 eV, consistent with Li$^+$ and S$^{2−}$, respectively. The survey spectra are shown in Figure S9C. The Li 1s and S 2p positions also demonstrate the lithiation product for poly-SCN is Li$_x$S.

The mechanism for poly-SCN transformation during charging is studied by post-mortem analysis of the composite cathode harvested from the ASSB by XPS. The S 2p spectra are shown in Figure 4E. In the pristine cathode, the P=S bond (LPSCI) at 161 eV and poly-SCN at 163.1 eV are observed (representing 88.6% of total amount). In the meantime, a small amount of Li$_x$S (11.4%) is observed, likely due to the moisture induced decomposition of LPSCI. After discharge, the amount of poly-SCN decreases to 47.9% while the amount of Li$_x$S increases to 52.1%. The formation of Li$_x$S during discharge agrees with earlier discussion. Furthermore, Figure S10 illustrates the C 1s and N 1s spectra. The C 1s spectra reveal no significant changes, likely due to the high proportion of conductive carbon present. Similarly, the N 1s spectrum exhibits minimal changes in peak characteristics. Considering that poly-SCN is the sole nitrogen-containing component in the cathode, the N 1s results suggest the inert behavior of nitrogen within poly-SCN during battery cycling.

The component ratio of poly-SCN and Li$_x$S (ionic conductor LPSCI is excluded) derived from the XPS analysis is shown in Figure 4F. After charge, the amount of Li$_x$S decreases to 33.2% while the amount of poly-SCN increases to 66.8%. The partially reversible conversion between poly-SCN and Li$_x$S indicates a redox process between these two components. However, the reversibility is incomplete; not all Li$_x$S is fully converted back to poly-SCN after charging. This might be attributed to the fact that we performed XPS analysis on the cathode composite near the current collector/cathode interface where the reaction is incomplete. In addition, the cross-linked −C≡N=− structure formed during discharge might be coated on the Li$_x$S particles which would impact reaction kinetics. On the other hand, a slight down-shift of the S 2p peak from 163.1 eV in pristine poly-SCN to 162.8 eV after a discharge/charge cycle is also indicative of polymer chain growth. The elongated polymer chain has more delocalized electrons which would result in a lower binding energy.

Based on these analyses, the discharge/charge mechanism for poly-SCN is proposed in Figure 4G. During discharge, poly-SCN involves the growth of a −C≡N=− chain and the formation of Li$_x$S, while after charging, the −C≡N=− polymer chain reacts with Li$_x$S to re-form the poly-SCN. Compared to the pristine poly-SCN, the charged poly-SCN has a longer polymer chain.

In summary, we have developed a scalable solid–liquid reaction at room temperature in ambient air to synthesize poly-SCN, a sulfur-rich polymer (55 wt% sulfur). The material has a transition at 77 °C, going from a glassy to a rubbery state. In its rubbery state, the polymer demonstrates high Li$^+$ conductivity, comparable to the LPSCI ionic conductor at 100 °C. The polymer is applied as an active material in an ASSB which delivers a capacity of 885 mAh g$^{-1}$ at a rate of C/5 at 100 °C. This value is much higher than elemental sulfur (450 mAh g$^{-1}$) and poly-SCN synthesized by a hand grounding method (480 mAh g$^{-1}$). Unlike the elemental sulfur cathode which undergoes a “solid–liquid–solid” transition, poly-SCN appears to undergo a single-step solid-state reaction in an ASSB, forming Li$_x$S along with a polymer with an extended −C≡N=− chain. After charging, poly-SCN re-forms but with an extended polymer chain length. The high conductivity of poly-SCN in its rubbery state and its excellent thermal stability make it a promising candidate for solid state batteries operating at elevated temperatures. In future studies, further modifications in composition and synthesis processes may enable poly-SCN to serve as a cathode at lower temperatures. These modifications may include refining or reducing the particle sizes, developing improved synthesis procedure to enhance the degree of polymerization, and introducing elemental dopants.
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